# **Transport Department**

# Passenger Opinion Survey for New Lantao Bus Company (1973) Limited

- Survey Report -

**Conducted and Prepared by** 



December 2013

## **Table of Content**

1	Вас	kground & Objective	3
2	The	Survey	3
3	Sur	vey Results	4
4	Stat	istical Tables	5
5	Met	hodology	8
	5.1	Survey Coverage and Sampling	8
	5.2	Response Rate	8
	5.3	Reliability of the Estimation	9
	5.4	Respondents Profile	. 10
App	endi	x I: Questionnaire	12

### 1 Background & Objective

In order to collect views on the performance of the New Lantao Bus Company (1973) Limited ("NLB"), the Transport Department has commissioned the Mercado Solutions Associates Limited ("MSA") to conduct passenger opinion survey via face-to-face interviews on NLB buses between November and December 2013.

### 2 The Survey

The target passengers were those who aged 12 or above who take NLB. The required sample size was allocated to different NLB routes according to ridership. Target respondents were picked by a random process with reference to the seating position on board the buses.

The questionnaire (see Appendix I) includes eight core questions covering the following aspects of the service performance:

- (1) Overall quality of service
- (2) Level of comfort of buses
- (3) Facilities on buses
- (4) Passenger information
- (5) Reliability of bus services
- (6) Driving performance of bus drivers
- (7) Service attitude of bus drivers or staff
- (8) Performance of the bus on environmental protection

The respondents were asked to rate their satisfaction level on each service aspect in a five-point scale of (i) Very satisfied (ii) Satisfied (iii) Dissatisfied (iv) Very dissatisfied (v) No comment.

In total, 512 individuals were successfully interviewed during the survey period between 19 November and 10 December 2013, representing an overall response rate of 88.0%.

### 3 Survey Results

- 1. Overall speaking, 87.5% of the respondents indicated that they were very satisfied/satisfied with the overall quality of the service provided by NLB. The percentage was much higher than the 12.5% who were dissatisfied/very dissatisfied.
- 2. 85.4% of the respondents indicated that they were very satisfied/satisfied with the level of comfort of the buses of NLB. The percentage was much higher than the 13.4% who were dissatisfied/very dissatisfied.
- 3. 89.0% of the respondents indicated that they were very satisfied/satisfied with the facilities on the buses of NLB. The percentage was much higher than the 9.4% who were dissatisfied/very dissatisfied.
- 4. 77.9% of the respondents indicated that they were very satisfied/satisfied with the passenger information provided by NLB. The percentage was higher than the 16.8% who were dissatisfied/very dissatisfied.
- 5. 72.4% of the respondents indicated that they were very satisfied/satisfied with the reliability of bus services of NLB. The percentage was higher than the 26.2% who were dissatisfied/very dissatisfied.
- 6. 89.2% of the respondents indicated that they were very satisfied/satisfied with the driving performance of NLB. The percentage was much higher than the 9.4% who were dissatisfied/very dissatisfied.
- 7. 87.3% of the respondents indicated that they were very satisfied/satisfied with the service attitude of drivers or staff of NLB. The percentage was much higher than the 10.2% who were dissatisfied/very dissatisfied.
- 8. 68.2% of the respondents indicated that they were very satisfied/satisfied with the performance of the buses of NLB on environmental protection. The percentage was higher than the 13.0% who were dissatisfied/very dissatisfied. For information, 18.8% of the respondents indicated "No comment".

#### 4 Statistical Tables

Table 1 Referring to the bus services provided by NLB, in overall, how satisfied have you been with the quality of the service provided by this company?

	Perc	entage (%)	
Very satisfied		6.4	
2. Satisfied		81.1	
3. Dissatisfied		11.3	
4. Very dissatisfied		1.2	
5. No comment		-	
6. Refused to answer		-	
	Total	100.0	

Table 2 How satisfied are you with the "Comfort of the buses" of NLB?

	Perc	entage (%)	
Very satisfied		10.2	
2. Satisfied		75.2	
3. Dissatisfied		12.4	
4. Very dissatisfied		1.0	
5. No comment		1.2	
6. Refused to answer		-	
	Total	100.0	

Table 3 How satisfied are you with the "Facilities on the buses" of NLB?

	Perc	entage (%)	
Very satisfied		7.6	
2. Satisfied		81.4	
3. Dissatisfied		9.2	
4. Very dissatisfied		0.2	
5. No comment		1.6	
6. Refused to answer		-	
	Total	100.0	

Table 4 How satisfied are you with the "Passenger information" of NLB?

	Perc	entage (%)	
Very satisfied		5.3	
2. Satisfied		72.6	
3. Dissatisfied		15.8	
4. Very dissatisfied		1.0	
5. No comment		5.3	
6. Refused to answer		-	
	Total	100.0	

Table 5 How satisfied are you with the "Reliability of bus services" of NLB?

	Perc	entage (%)	
Very satisfied	satisfied 7.2		
2. Satisfied		65.2	
3. Dissatisfied 22.1		22.1	
4. Very dissatisfied	4.1		
5. No comment		1.4	
6. Refused to answer	6. Refused to answer -		
	Total	100.0	

Table 6 How satisfied are you with the "Driving performance" of NLB's bus drivers?

	Perc	entage (%)	
Very satisfied		11.9	
2. Satisfied		77.3	
3. Dissatisfied		8.6	
4. Very dissatisfied		8.0	
5. No comment		1.4	
6. Refused to answer		-	
	Total	100.0	

Table 7 How satisfied are you with the "Service attitude" of NLB 's bus drivers or staff?

	Perc	entage (%)	
Very satisfied		9.4	
2. Satisfied		77.9	
3. Dissatisfied		8.6	
4. Very dissatisfied		1.6	
5. No comment		2.5	
6. Refused to answer		-	
	Total	100.0	

Table 8 How satisfied are you with the performance on "Environmental protection" of NLB's bus?

	Perc	entage (%)	
Very satisfied		3.9	_
2. Satisfied		64.3	
3. Dissatisfied		10.5	
4. Very dissatisfied		2.5	
5. No comment		18.8	
6. Refused to answer		-	
	Total	100.0	

### 5 Methodology

#### 5.1 Survey Coverage and Sampling

The target passengers were those who aged 12 or above who take NLB. The required sample size was allocated to different bus routes according to their ridership. In order to ensure the findings of the survey were representative, random sampling method was applied on this on-board face-to-face interview survey. Target respondents were picked by a random process with reference to their seating position on board the buses. Successful interviews were limited to not more than five per sampled trip and each interview would be conducted in ten-minute interval. If the selected passenger refused the interview, surveyor would interview the next passenger following the order of the seating position until a successful interview was conducted.

#### 5.2 Response Rate

In total, 512 individuals were successfully enumerated during the fieldwork period between 19 November and 10 December 2013, constituting an overall response rate of 88.0%. The enumeration results are presented below:

	Count
(a) Total number of respondents approached	600
(b) Invalid cases	18
(c) Refusal	70
(d) Successful interviews	512
Overall response rate = (d) / [(c) + (d)] x 100%	88.0%

### 5.3 Reliability of the Estimation

The precision of the estimates of various variables in this report were presented in the form of coefficient of variation ("CV") and margin of error at 95% confidence level. The coefficient of variation is a statistical measure of the dispersion of sample estimate in comparison with the expected mean. It is obtained by expressing sampling error ("SE") as a percentage of the estimate to which it refers. The margin of error at 95% confidence level is defined as the confidence interval. It is used to determine the level of accuracy of the sample estimate by plus or minus margin of error into consideration. The lower the figures of the coefficient of variation and margin of error at 95% confidence level, the less the variation of the sample estimate.

The CVs and Margin of error at 95% confidence level of the estimates of selected variables in this report are given as below:

			Margin of
	Percentage		error
Service Attributes	of satisfied	CV	at 95%
Service Attributes	passengers	(%)	confidence
	(%)		level
			(%)
(1) Overall quality of service	87.5	1.7	± 2.9
(2) Level of comfort of buses	85.4	1.8	± 3.1
(3) Facilities on buses	89.0	1.6	± 2.7
(4) Passenger information	77.9	2.4	± 3.6
(5) Reliability of bus services	72.4	2.7	± 3.9
(6) Driving performance of bus drivers	89.2	1.5	± 2.7
(7) Service attitude of bus drivers or staff	87.3	1.7	± 2.9
(8) Performance of the bus on	68.2	3.0	± 4.0
environmental protection	00.2	ა.0	± 4.0

## 5.4 Respondents Profile

Table 1 Gender

	Percentage (%)	
Male	52.5	
Female		47.5
	Total	100.0

Table 2 Age

	Perd	centage (%)	
12-19		11.1	
20-29		20.4	
30-39		21.3	
40-49		23.8	
50-59		12.7	
60 or above		10.7	
	Total	100.0	

Table 3 Monthly Personal Income

	Perd	entage (%)	
1. Below \$10,000		42.8	_
2. \$10,000 - 14,999		18.9	
3. \$15,000 - 19,999		18.0	
4. \$20,000 - 29,999		11.9	
5. \$30,000 - 39,999		3.9	
6. \$40,000 or above		2.0	
7. Refused to answer		2.5	
	Total	100.0	

Table 4 Main purpose of the bus trips

	Percentage (%)	
1. To or from work	34.2	
2. To or from place of study	9.4	
3. To or from shopping / sport event /	42.0	
other leisure activities		
4. Visiting relatives / friends	13.1	
5. Other	1.3	
Total	100.0	

Table 5 Frequency of Using Bus Service (Per Week)

	Perd	centage (%)	
0 times		37.7	_
1 – 5 times		15.2	
6 – 10 times		47.1	
11 times or above		-	
	Total	100.0	

		_			_		_			
Passenger	Oninian	CHECK	, for	NIONA	Lonton	Diio	Campan		(1072)	limitad
rassenuer	COULIDIT	Survey	/ 101	ıv⇔w	Lamao	DUS	Comban	v	1975	LIIIIIIEO

**Appendix I: Questionnaire** 

#### New Lantao Bus Co. (1973) Limited (NLB)

#### **Passenger Satisfaction Survey**

Route:			Direction:	
Interviewer No.:			Date:	
Time started:			Time ended:	
commission like to cond	name is, an ned by the Transport Depo luct an interview within 2-3 onfidence and will be used	artment (TD) to 3 mins with you	o conduct an opinion or household. The i	ns Associates Ltd We have been in survey on publics buses and would information you provide will be treated ank you for your co-operation.
		we2 (Interview	er can determine the	e answer by observation)
A.1	1 Yes (Continue)	ve: (interviews	2 No (Termina	·
A.2	Do you or any of your fa	mily members	work in the followin	g industry?
	<ul> <li>[Read out one by one</li> <li>1 Market research, Ad</li> <li>2 Public bus companie</li> <li>3 Other public transpo</li> <li>4 None of the above (</li> <li>5 Refused to answer (</li> </ul>	dvertising, or Pues (Terminate) ort services (Terminate) Continue)	rminate)	
<u>Part II – I</u>	Main Questionnaire			
B.1	quality of the service provides dissatisfied? [Showcard	vided by this co	ompany? Is it very sa	now satisfied have you been with the atisfied \( \) satisfied \( \) dissatisfied or very ervices included: comfort of bus,
	facilities on the buses, p bus drivers and service	-	rmation, reliability of	f bus services, driving performance of
	1 Very satisfied	2 Satisfied	3 Dissatisfied	4 Very dissatisfied

6 Refused to answer

5 No comment

B.2	•		of the buses" of this ceanliness seats etc.	company? [Showcard]
	1 Very satisfied 5 No comment	2 Satisfied 6 Refused to a		4 Very dissatisfied
B.3		ge rack, facilities fo		s company? [Showcard] bilities · handrail · bell · bus stop
	1 Very satisfied 5 No comment	2 Satisfied 6 Refused to a		4 Very dissatisfied
B.4	example, bus route,	bus stop and fare in	nformation.	s company? [Showcard] For ovided in bus terminal, bus stops /
	1 Very satisfied 5 No comment	2 Satisfied 6 Refused to a		4 Very dissatisfied
B.5	•	•	of bus services" of the	nis company? [Showcard] For ous or not etc.
	1 Very satisfied 5 No comment	2 Satisfied 6 Refused to a	3 Dissatisfied nswer	4 Very dissatisfied
B.6	•	• .		ompany's bus drivers? [Showcard] s or not, driving skills etc.
	1 Very satisfied 5 No comment	2 Satisfied 6 Refused to a	3 Dissatisfied nswer	4 Very dissatisfied
B.7	How satisfied are yo [Showcard]	u with the "Service	attitude" of this compa	any's bus drivers or staff?
	(If respondents have and friendly)	query, explain serv	ice attitude included t	ake care of customers' needs, polite
	1 Very satisfied 5 No comment	2 Satisfied 6 Refused to a	3 Dissatisfied nswer	4 Very dissatisfied

B.8	How satisfied are yo	u with the performa	nce on "Environmenta	al protection" of this company's bus?	
	[Showcard]				
	For example: whether emission/ smoke etc	· ·	ndly bus (included Eur	o IV and V), reducing exhaust gas	
	1 Very satisfied	2 Satisfied	3 Dissatisfied	4 Very dissatisfied	
	5 No comment	6 Refused to a	nswer		

#### Part III - Demographic

C.1	What is the purpose of most of your bus trips? (SA) [Showcard]
	1 To or from work 2 To or from place of study 3 To or from travelling /shopping / 4 Visiting relatives / friends sport event / other leisure activities 5 Others (please specify)
C.2	What is the average time to take once of the bus route of this company?  Once per day / week / month / year  Code 99 – This is the first time
C.3	Would you tell me your age? (According to the last birthday) [Showcard]  1
C.4	What is your total monthly personal income? <b>[Showcard]</b> 1 Below \$10,000
C.5	Record Gender: (To be filled by the interviewer)  1 Male 2 Female

#### $\sim$ Thank you for your cooperation! $\sim$